#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the complaint of THE MART TRUST against DTE Energy Company	)	Case No. U-16500
	)	

### NOTICE OF PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The attached Proposal for Decision is being issued and served on all parties of record in the above matter on May 6, 2011.

Exceptions, if any, must be filed with the Michigan Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 30221, 6545 Mercantile Way, Lansing, Michigan 48909, and served on all other parties of record on or before May 27, 2011, or within such further period as may be authorized for filing exceptions. If exceptions are filed, replies thereto may be filed on or before June 10, 2011.

At the expiration of the period for filing exceptions, an Order of the Commission will be issued in conformity with the attached Proposal for Decision and will become effective unless exceptions are filed seasonably or unless the Proposal for Decision is reviewed by action of the Commission. To be seasonably filed, exceptions must reach the Commission on or before the date they are due.

# STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES For the Michigan Public Service Commission

Theresa A. Sheets

Theresa A. Sheets Administrative Law Judge

May 6, 2011 Lansing, Michigan

### **STATE OF MICHIGAN**

## BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the complaint of	)	
THE MART TRUST against	)	Case No. U-16500
DTE Energy Company	)	
	)	

# PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The Proposal for Decision in this matter was issued orally from the bench. A copy of the transcript is attached hereto which reflects the Proposal for Decision. See attached.

OFFICE OF MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM For the Michigan Public Service Commission

Theresa A. Sheets
Administrative Law Judge

May 6, 2011 Lansing, Michigan DR (Off the record from 2:38 to 2:52 p.m.)

JUDGE SHEETS: We're on the record. I have heard DTE's motion for directed verdict and arguments supporting that motion. I have heard the arguments by Staff counsel in support of the motion, and I have also heard Mr. Chaban's response in opposition to the motion for directed verdict.

having heard the motions and all arguments in support of and in opposition to that motion, I find as follows: The Complaint in this matter doesn't deny the sums owed to DTE. The issue is one sole issue, which is whether DTE should be compelled to accept \$700 in State Emergency Relief funds. This one issue is also supported in the Complainant's motion in limine, which indicates the sole issue of whether Respondent violated 460.148 in refusing to accept the \$700 payment.

The evidence presented by Ms. Gray in this matter indicates that it's actually State policy to require full payment or work out a payment plan before the funds from the State Emergency Relief are approved. In fact, she went further to say that that requirement appears to be, to relate to those who are not current at least six months. So it's fair to assume, based on the documents that have been presented and the evidence

Metro Court Reporters, Inc. 248.426.9530

/

presented that the Complainant was at least six months delinquent at that time, and thus was required to pay the full balance beyond the State Emergency Relief funds before those funds would be approved.

All exhibits entered in this matter indicate that DTE did not even have an opportunity to accept the \$700 in State Emergency Relief because the Complainant never fulfilled his obligation to make his part of the payment.

The fact that this matter is or is not under appeal with DHS is outside the scope of whether or not DTE should accept payment. At this time there is no payment to accept, and therefore I am limited to the information and evidence that has been presented in this action. The DHS appeal is a separate matter for DHS to handle with the Complainant.

At this time I find that there was no evidence presented that DTE denied accepting funds. There is no evidence that DTE failed to abide by the rules in terms of providing notice to Mart Trust of options for emergency relief, as no evidence was presented by the Complainant in support of those allegations. And those allegations were also not set forth in the Complaint and no relief was requested in terms of that allegation. There is no evidence that Mart

\_

Trust itself was ever approved because Mart Trust didn't pay their part of the obligation.

Complainant's counsel indicates that Mart
Trust, on the documents that were not admitted into
evidence but were part of the argument in opposition to
the motion for directed verdict, indicates that Mart
Trust was required to contact DTE before working out a
plan with DTE. But DHS clearly in its documents told
Mart Trust what was required. He was required to pay
those sums before he got the emergency relief. That
would have given Mart Trust ample time to go to DTE and
work out an arrangement before that denial occurred.
There has been no evidence presented in this proceeding
that Mart Trust ever contacted DTE to arrange payments or
to do anything to save what Ms. Gray called a pseudo
award in this matter.

As a side matter, Mart Trust didn't apply for the State Emergency Relief. It was Mr. Tindall in his individual capacity that applied for that relief. That part of it, that part of this matter is not controlling in my decision, but it also is another element that I did consider. For those reasons stated, I am granting directed verdict to DTE Energy.

MR. CHABAN: For clarification, if I were to submit evidence of the trust certificate and statutes

Metro Court Reporters, Inc. 248.426.9530

1	saying that the trust and Mr. Tindall are identical, that
2	would not change your decision, correct?
3	JUDGE SHEETS: That would not control my
4	decision, no.
5	Is there anything further for the record
6	today?
7	MR. BEACH: Nothing from the Staff, your
8	Honor.
9	JUDGE SHEETS: O.K. Thank you very much.
10	Everyone have a great day.
11	MR. RHODES: Thank you.
12	MR. CHABAN: Thank you.
13	MR. BEACH: Thank you, your Honor.
14	(At 3:00 p.m., the record was closed.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	